Case 1:03-md-01570 CBD-SN Document 773 Filed 03/24/05 Page 1 of 3

**USDC SDNY** 

**DOCUMENT** 

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC #:

DATE FILED: 3-24-05

(A1675.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In te Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001 CF Case

This document relates to:

New York Marine and General Insurance Co. v. Al Qaida, et al., Case No. 04-CV-6105 (S.D.N.Y.)

## STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING SCHEDULE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CONSOLIDATED UNDER MDL 1570

It is HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiff in the abovereferenced case consolidated under 03 MDL 1570 and Defendants Ahmed Totonji, Iqbal Unus,
Jamal Barzinji, M. Omar Ashraf, Mohammed Jaghlit, Muhammad Ashraf, M. Yaqub Mirza,
Taha Jaber Al-Alwani, African Muslim Agency, Grove Corporate, Heritage Education Trust,
International Institute of Islamic Thought, Mar-Jac Investments, Inc., Mena Corporation, Reston
Investments, Inc., Safa Trust, Sterling Charitable Gift Fund, Sterling Management Group, and
York Foundation (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, subject
to the approval of the Court, as follows:

- 1. The Court previously approved Stipulations by and between counsel for Plaintiff and defendants Ahmed Totonji, Grove Corporate, Heritage Education Trust, Iqbal Unus, Jamal Barzinji, M. Omar Ashraf, Muhammad Ashraf, M. Yaqub Mirza, Mohammad Jaghlit, Sterling Charitable Gift Fund, and Sterling Management Group to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on or before March 28, 2005.
- 2. Currently pending before the Court are three separate motions to dismiss relating to the Defendants in other consolidated cases: (1) a motion to dismiss in *Burnett v. Al Baraka Investment and Development Group, et al.*, brought by defendants African Muslim Agency.

GTN6439300 ±

Grove Corporate, Heritage Education Trust. International Institute of Islamic Thought, Mar-Jac Investments, Reston Investments, Safa Trust, and York Foundation; (2) a motion to dismiss in Ashton v. Al Queda Islamic Army, et al. brought by the International Institute of Islamic Thought; and (3) a motion to dismiss in Federal Insurance Company v. Al Queda, et al. brought by Ighal Unus, Jamal Barzinji, M. Omar Ashraf, M. Yaqub Mirza, Muhammad Ashraf, and Tuha Al-Alwani (the "Ponding Motions"). The Court was fully briefed and heard argument on the Pending Motions on October 12 and 14, 2004, and took them under submission at that time.

- 3. In the interests of judicial economy and to avoid duplicative motions involving similar allegations, it is hereby stipulated and agreed that Defendants shall have thirty (30) days from the date on which the Court decides the Pending Motions to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint in the above-referenced case.
- 4. Plaintiff shall have sixty (60) days from the date on which it is served with

  Defendants' responsive pleadings to file a response, if any. Defendants shall have twenty-one

  (21) days thereafter to file a reply to Plaintiff's opposition.
- This stipulation supersedes all previous stipulations between Plaintiff and any

  Defendant.

Respectfully submitted

**BROWN GAYALAS & FROMM LLP** 

Rv-

Frank J. Rubino, Jr. 355 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10017

Attorneys for Plaintiff

ИШ

IIIII

GTM434918 1 210363846

2

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP

Rv

Christopher J. Beal 4365 Executive Drive

Suite 1100

San Diego, CA 92121

Attorneys for Defendants

SO ORDERED:

RICHARD CONWAY CASEL S.D.J.

Dated: March 24,2005

GT-6439300.3 2103638-6

3